

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,389	01/04/2002	Robert A. Southworth	647-015.01	7346
7590 04/06/2007 Paul R. Katterle Legal Department ABB Inc. 29801 Euclid Avenue Wickliffe, OH 44092-2530			EXAMINER	
			FISHER, MICHAEL J	
			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/037,389	SOUTHWORTH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael J. Fisher	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
	·	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposit	ion of Claims		,			
5)□ 6)፟⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) 1-76 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-76 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing is/are.	vn from consideration. election requirement. c. epted or b) objected to by the				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	and and and and and				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) cmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) cr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PAT 6,411,943 to Crawford.

As to claims 1,8,15,27, Crawford discloses a program builder system maintained ad a supplier's place of business (200, 210, 214 as best seen in fig 2), distributing software to a customer (fig 2), making available a model number data page to a customer (col 40, line 66-col 41, line 6), receiving a model number request from a customer (fig 8A), transmitting the program code to the customer (fig 14A).

Crawford does not, however, teach using the system for timer modules or specifically state that the customer's computer is at the place of business. Timer modules are old and well known in the art being merely software. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system as disclosed by Crawford to sell timer-modules as timer-modules are software and Crawford discloses this as a good way to sell software (such as anti-virus software (200) and other software (212)) and it further would have been obvious for the customer's computer to be at the place of business as computers are well known to be useful for business applications.

As to claims 49,57, the location of the system would not make it patentably distinct.

Art Unit: 3629

As to claims 2,29, Crawford discloses sending the code via a network communication (fig 3).

As to claims 3,4,12,50,61, the system is done on a computer (fig 3) and the examiner takes Official Notice that personal computers (PCs) are old and well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use a PC at the supplier as PCs are less expensive than large mainframe computers.

As to claims 5,17,51, as the information is displayed on a computer, it would be electronically displayed.

As to claims 6,52, it is old and well known to print material, therefore, it would have been obvious to print a copy of the sales form to maintain a hard copy in case of computer error.

As to claim 7, the steps would happen simultaneously as the computer would, of necessity, be turned on and as such, it would receive and load data simultaneously.

As to claim 9, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include an initiate circuit as these are well known to be useful in timer modules.

As to claim 10, analog-to-digital (A/D) circuits are old and well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a power supply circuit to enable the user to use the timer to control a power supply, such as turning lights on and off while away on vacation.

As to claim 11, analog-to-digital (A/D) circuits are old and well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include an

Art Unit: 3629

A/D converter to enable the user to use the timer to control a power supply, such as turning lights on and off while away on vacation.

As to claim 13, the program builder includes an in-circuit device programmer (inherently in that it does program the device by downloading software and upgrading software).

As to claims 14,63, the program builder system comprises an emulator (fig 9).

As to claims 16,19,28, these are all well known aspects of A/D converters controlled by timer-modules, therefore, it would have been obvious to include them if the customer ordered them and further, to have them on one housing so as to keep the system less complicated.

As to claims 18,56,64,68,72, Crawford discloses an online service (col 14, lines 31-32) that would inherently include a webpage or pages.

As to claim 20, the model number would inherently designate an operating parameter as it only designates one model.

As to claim 21, the parameter reader unit would be the monitor of the computer that displays all information.

As to claim 22, it would have been obvious to display a reprogramming status if the computer is being reprogrammed.

As to claim 23, the program builder would inherently be able to parse out characters as it must read them in order to correlate with the proper model.

As to claim 24, Crawford discloses a plurality of different input windows (fig 8A).

Art Unit: 3629

As to claim 25, the customer chooses, or 'builds' the model required and the system then builds it, thereby meeting the limitations as claimed.

As to claim 26, it is old and well known for timer modules to have subfunctions, therefore, it would have been obvious to include a subfunction ordering table to allow the customer to purchase the exact timer module required.

As to claim 30, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to ship a transportable storage medium (such as a compact disk), as this is a well-known way to disseminate software.

As to claim 31, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include such elements if they customer orders them.

As to claims 32,34, these are all well known aspects of A/D converters controlled by timer-modules, therefore, it would have been obvious to include them if the customer ordered them.

As to claim 33, it would be inherent that the page includes product information else the customers could not know what they are buying.

As to claim 35, the location of the system would not make it patentably distinct.

As to claim 36, as best understood, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a plurality of time delays if the customer ordered them.

As to claims 37,48,58-60 it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include such features if the customer ordered them.

As to claims 39-47,53,54,65-67,69-71,74,75,76, Crawford teaches updating software (214 in fig 2), thereby meeting the limitations as claimed.

Art Unit: 3629

As to claim 62, the device includes an in-circuit device programmer as it programs devices and this is done in-circuit (fig 9).

As to claim 73, as the information is displayed on a computer, it would be electronically displayed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/9/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner agrees that the applied prior art does not teach the method exactly, (i.e. does not sell timer modules), however, applicant is not claiming the timer module, merely the method of selling the module. The prior art applied teaches selling software, which could be used to sell any software, including timer modules, as is noted in the rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3629

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael J. Fisher whose telephone number is 571-272-

6804. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30am-5:00pm alt Fri. off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MF

3/21/07

turn a maiss

SUPERIOR DRIVE

3300

Page 7